UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,097	03/30/2001	Guangdian Gordon Wu	068508.0102	9058
23640 BAKER BOTT	7590 11/24/200 S, LLP	EXAMINER		
910 LOUISIAN	IÁ.	OSMAN, RAMY M		
HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

debbie.allen@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/822,097	WU, GUANGDIAN GORDON				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		RAMY M. OSMAN	2457				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	lv 2000					
•		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	and a secondarios with the process and a	in parte quayre, 1000 G.D. 11, 10					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-37,53 and 54</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	-					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)□				, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	•		(4) (5)				
· .	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) _l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. bassa basa na asista d					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/822,097 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to amendment filed July 20, 2009. Claims 1-37,53 and 54 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/20/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant argues that the Recognizer of Kawaski directs communication to the Profiler and not to the user, as allegedly required by the claim.

In reply, the claims are broad and thus given their broadest reasonable interpretation. Nowhere in the claims is there a recitation of a phrase similar "directing communication to", as argued by Applicant. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claims broadly recite "communicate with", which can be interpreted to simply mean "connected with", "interact with" or "part of". The claims fail to explicitly designate which elements of the claim are transmitting or receiving and therefore this will not be read into the claims. Kawasaki anticipates the claims because the elements of Kawasaki that correspond to the claims are all part of the same system and all directly, indirectly, actively or passively interact with each other in some way (i.e. communicate with).

4. Applicant argues that the Profiler of Kawaski does not direct communication to the Recognizer, as allegedly required by the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,097 Page 3

Art Unit: 2457

In reply, the claims are broad and thus given their broadest reasonable interpretation. Nowhere in the claims is there a recitation of a phrase similar "directing communication to", as argued by Applicant. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claims broadly recite "communicate with", which can be interpreted to simply mean "connected with", "interact with" or "part of". The claims fail to explicitly designate which elements of the claim are transmitting or receiving and therefore this will not be read into the claims. Kawasaki anticipates the claims because the elements of Kawasaki that correspond to the claims are all part of the same system and all directly, indirectly, actively or passively interact with each other in some way (i.e. communicate with).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-6,8-10,12-14,33,35-37,53 and 54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawasaki (US Publication No 2001/0011264).

Application/Control Number: 09/822,097 Page 4

Art Unit: 2457

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 11,15-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al (US Patent No 6,401,085).
- 9. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al (US Patent No 6,401,085) in view of Moshfeghi et al (US Patent No 6,076,166).
- 10. See Office Action dated 12/10/2008 for a detailed listing of the above rejections.

Conclusion

- 11. Applicant may not introduce any new matter to the claims or to the specification. For any subsequent response that contains new/amended claims, Applicant is required to cite its corresponding support in the specification. (See MPEP chapter 2163.03 section (I.) and chapter 2163.04 section (I.) and chapter 2163.06)
- 12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/822,097

Art Unit: 2457

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMY M. OSMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ramy M Osman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 November 19, 2009